## Grant Scheme on Promoting Effective English Language Learning in Primary Schools Final Report

| (A) Name of School:Meng Tak Catholic School                | (File Number: B 001) |
|--|----------------------|
|  |                      |
| (B) School Information and Approved Curriculum Initiatives |                      |

| (B) School Information and Appro                 | ved Curriculum Initiatives   |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Please tick ( $\checkmark$ ) the appropriate box | ş.   |  |  |  |
| Name of Teacher-in-charge                        | Ms. Siu Sui Luen Jessica School Phone No 25572244  |  |  |  |
|  | ☐ Enrich the English language environment in school through conducting activities* and/or developing |  |  |  |
|  | quality resources*   |  |  |  |
|  | ✓ Promote reading* or literacy* across the curriculum  |  |  |  |
| Approved Curriculum Initiative(s)                | ☐ Enhance e-Learning   |  |  |  |
|  | ☐ Cater for learning diversity   |  |  |  |
|  | ☐ Strengthen assessment literacy   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | ☑ Purchase learning and teaching resources (printed books/e-books/Others* (please)                   |  |  |  |
|  | specify:)  |  |  |  |
|  | ☐ Employ supply teacher(s)   |  |  |  |
| Approved Usage(s) of Grant                       | ☐ Employ teacher(s) who is/are proficient in English   |  |  |  |
|  | ☑ Employ teaching assistant(s) who is/are proficient in English                                      |  |  |  |
|  | ☐ Procure services for conducting activities   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## (C) Self-evaluation of Project Implementation

Please evaluate your school's project implementation based on the indicators under the 5 key criteria using a 4-point scale<sup>#</sup>. Indicate the school's score for each criterion by ticking "\scale" the appropriate box and providing full justification of scoring.

| Criteria                        | Indicators   | #Self-eval   | luation (Please put d                                   | a ✓ in the appropri   | ate box.)            |
|---------------------------------|--|--|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
|                                 | • Deliverables such as learning and teaching         | Yes (Fulfilled)  | <b>←</b>  | → N                   | o (Not fulfilled)    |
|                                 | resources of acceptable quality are produced,        | 4  | 3   | 2                     | 1                    |
| Efficiency                      | deployed and used as well as quality English         | ✓  |   |                       |                      |
| (Cost-effectiveness:            | language activities are organised as scheduled.      | Justifications:  |   |                       |                      |
| production and                  | • Additional resources (e.g. printed/e-books,        | • 100% of the e-   | reader learning and                                     | teaching resources i  | ncluding lesson      |
| execution of project            | teachers and teaching assistants) are suitably       | plans, PowerPo   | oint slides and works                                   | sheets of fairly good | d quality were       |
| deliverables,                   | deployed to achieve the intended goals.              | produced. The newly-developed resources were all used for Primary 1-6  |   |                       |                      |
| resources deployment            | Target groups as stipulated in the approved plan     | in-class teaching during the last academic year.   |   |                       |                      |
| and beneficiary size)           | have benefitted from the project.                    | • The hiring of an additional teaching assistant greatly reduced teachers'   |   |                       |                      |
|                                 |  | workload in wi   | riting lesson plans ar                                  | nd producing teaching | ng and learning      |
|                                 |  | materials.   |   |                       |                      |
| Effectiveness                   | • Both observable (such as mastery of target         | Yes (Fulfilled)  | <b>←</b>  | <b>→</b> N            | o (Not fulfilled)    |
| (Goal achievement:              | language skills) and measurable outcomes (such       | 4  | 3   | 2                     | 1                    |
| improvement of                  | as improvement as reflected by formative and/or      |  | <b>√</b>  |                       |                      |
| students' language              | summative assessment results) are achieved.          | Justifications:  |   |                       |                      |
| skills, teachers'               | Teachers demonstrate a good understanding of         |  | chers have participa                                    | ated in the design an | nd making of a total |
| understanding of new            | new curriculum requirements <sup>+</sup> in lessons, |  |   |                       |                      |
| curriculum                      | co-planning meetings and material development        | 36 sets, with each set covering 8 lessons, of school-based reading across the curriculum materials.  |   |                       | C                    |
| requirements - Major            | process.   | • The end of ter   | m survey result sho                                     | wed that with almo    | est 80% of students  |
| renewed emphases in             | Monitoring and evaluation tools are effectively      | and 85% of teachers agreed that PEEGS programme helped promote   |   |                       |                      |
| the Updated English             | deployed for continual course corrections and        |  |   |                       |                      |
| Language                        | outcome improvement.                                 | students have improved their confidence and skills in English learning. as well as students' interest of reading English books in other subjects was |   |                       |                      |
| Curriculum <sup>+</sup> and use |  |  | ts' interest of reading to fixed the students have many |                       |                      |
| of evaluation                   |  | built as a lesur   | of students nave in                                     | ade connections bet   | ween then rearming   |

| Criteria   | Indicators  | #Self-eva  | luation (Please put  | a \in the appropr  | iate box.)   |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| instruments for ensuring effectiveness)  |   | However, accostudents' submatisfactory. The computer software certain browse with the technical students.  | he low submission ware support (e.g. Fir) in students' familicians of the web-ba   | nces.  om the web-based leaders learning materate was mostly due lash Player cannot relies. Further discuss ased platform has taked the issues in details. | rials was not to lack of relevant un properly in ion of the solution ken place.  |
| Impact   | • Curriculum initiative(s) implemented has/have   | Yes (Fulfilled)  | <del>(</del>   | <b>→</b> N   | lo (Not fulfilled)   |
| (Broader and   | added value to the existing English Language  | 4  | 3  | 2  | 1  |
| longer-term effects on   | curriculum.   | ✓  |  |  |  |
| curriculum enhancement, learning atmosphere and teachers' professional capacity) | <ul> <li>Curriculum initiative(s) implemented has/have fostered a professional sharing culture among English teachers, resulting in enhanced capacity.</li> <li>The English language learning environment has been enriched and students are more motivated in learning English.</li> </ul> | co-planning mactivities could each term. Pan members, leve teachers have of pedagogy in probable to apply the The experience from the core to other teached the mentors of project.  All English teached | eetings and contributed be incorporated and the leads would the leachers and the tedeveloped a better uponoting Reading as the learnt knowledge in conducting the team will pass on the learn term of the learnt subject particles agreed that be actured together in classical conductions and contributed and contributed together and contributed actured to the conductions and contributed together and contributed to | project will be pass   | earning tasks and the beginning of essions with core us all English knowledge and m as well as were ed on. Teachers are their experience then will become mentation of the |

| Criteria                | Indicators  | #Self-eva   | luation (Please put    | a ✓ in the appropri   | ate box.)              |  |
|-------------------------|---|---|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--|
| Relevance               | • Project goals set are in close alignment with the | Yes (Fulfilled)   | <b>←</b>               | → N                   | o (Not fulfilled)      |  |
| (Goal alignment)        | school's major concerns and teachers'/students'     | 4   | 3                      | 2                     | 1                      |  |
| ¥                       | needs.  | ✓   |                        |                       |                        |  |
|                         | Proper mechanisms (e.g. regular project review      | Justifications:   |                        |                       |                        |  |
|                         | meetings) are in place to ensure that project       | E-readers which   | ch with topics and v   | alues align with scho | ool's core             |  |
|                         | activities and outputs are consistent with the      | the curriculum and support students' learning were purchased. The purchase  |                        |                       |                        |  |
|                         | overall goal and the attainment of the              | at the same tin   | ne responds to the E   | nglish Language Cu    | rriculum (Primary)     |  |
|                         | objectives.   | in promoting r  | eading across the cu   | rriculum.             |                        |  |
|                         |   |   | _                      | assistant had co-plar |                        |  |
|                         |   | every two weeks to have discussion and evaluation on try-outs of the  |                        |                       |                        |  |
|                         |   | newly developed lesson plans.   |                        |                       |                        |  |
|                         |   | <ul> <li>Students' performance including homework submission and other</li> </ul>   |                        |                       |                        |  |
|                         |   | 35.00   |                        | on the web-based lea  | 0.0000000              |  |
|                         |   |   |                        | ' learning progress b | y checking their       |  |
| /                       |   | submission reg  |                        |                       | 27 0 1011 1            |  |
| Sustainability          | Newly-developed materials are consistently          | Yes (Fulfilled)   | +                      |                       | o (Not fulfilled)      |  |
| (Continuation of a      | used after the implementation of approved           | 4   | 3                      | 2                     | 1                      |  |
| project's goals,        | curriculum initiatives and fully integrated with    | <b>√</b>  |                        |                       |                        |  |
| principles, and efforts | the existing English Language curriculum.           | Justifications:   |                        |                       |                        |  |
| to achieve              | • Related students'/professional development        |   |                        | l selected e-readers. |                        |  |
| desired outcomes)       | activities are conducted after the project period   |   |                        | ing as well as out-of |                        |  |
|                         | for sustaining the benefits obtained.               |   |                        | form with an annual   |                        |  |
|                         |   | of HKD\$10 per student starting from 2020/2021 academic year.   |                        |                       |                        |  |
|                         |   | <ul> <li>The school-based e-reader learning and teaching materials developed are<br/>used by English teachers as part of the English curriculum in the current</li> </ul> |                        |                       |                        |  |
|                         |   |   | •                      | n succeeding years.   |                        |  |
|                         |   |   |                        | e saved in the school | 1000                   |  |
|                         |   | an the develop  | bed illaterials will b | c saved in the school | or server for furtifer |  |

| Criteria  | Indicators  | #Self-evaluation (Please put a ✓ in the appropriate box.)  |
|---|---|--|
|   |   | <ul> <li>Evaluation meetings will be held each term to collect teachers' views on the programme and materials. The materials developed will be evaluated and modified in the subsequent years. Questionnaires on teachers and students' feedback will also be collected by the end of each academic year.</li> </ul> |
|   | Othe  | er details   |
| Issues or problems encountered during the reporting period which have impacted on the progress of the project and how they were/will be dealt with Other areas that the core team would like to raise which are not covered above | Nil<br>Nil  |  |
| Good practices identified (if any)  | script and biography. Meanwhile, students   | culum materials offer students with exposure of different text types, such as play s' creativity and independent analytical ability is nurtured through completing the ting story ending and taking part in a role-play.   |
| Successful experience (if any)  | The programme supports and facilitates st<br>channel to students to access different type | udents' self-learning development as the web-based platform provides an extra es of books. According to teachers' observation, reading books with different ts' horizons at the same time connect their learning with different KLAs.  |

| Other details |  |  |  |
|---------------|--|--|--|
| •             | • The built-in audio playing function of the purchased e-readers effectively assists students with weak parental support with English learning. Students can listen and learn from the accurate pronunciation as well as the precise intonation at home by themselves. |  |  |

## Remarks:

- \* Please delete as appropriate.
- # Rating scale

| Score | Rating Scale   |
|-------|--|
| 4     | Related indicators have been completely fulfilled.                                   |
| 3     | Related indicators have been largely fulfilled.                                      |
| 2     | Related indicators have been adequately fulfilled but corrective actions are needed. |
| 1     | Related indicators have not been fulfilled.  |

+ For details, please refer to pages 6-9 of the English Language Education Curriculum Guide (Primary 1 - Secondary 6) (2017) <a href="https://www.edb.gov.hk/attachment/en/curriculum-development/renewal/ELE/ELE\_KLACG\_P1-S6\_Eng\_2017.pdf">https://www.edb.gov.hk/attachment/en/curriculum-development/renewal/ELE/ELE\_KLACG\_P1-S6\_Eng\_2017.pdf</a>

| Signature of Principal: _ | Citalin | Date: | 6/11/2019 |  |
|---------------------------|---------|-------|-----------|--|
|---------------------------|---------|-------|-----------|--|

Name of Principal: Leung Hau Yau

